STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PRISON INDUSTRY BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 2012

THE BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

1515 K STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTED BY:

ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ CSR NO. 1564

1	ATTENDEES
2	BOARD MEMBERS:
3	MARTIN HOSHINO, CHAIR
4	ESTEBAN ALMANZA
5	GEORGE CHAPJIAN (TELEPHONICALLY)
6	WILLIAM DAVIDSON
7	CURTIS KELLY
8	KIRA MASTELLER (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	BRUCE SAITO
10	DARSHAN SINGH
11	MICHELE STEEB
12	RAY TRUJILLO
13	STAFF:
14	CHARLES L. PATTILLO, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
15	SCOTT WALKER
16	ERIC RESLOCK
17	PHYLLIS GUARE
18	COUNSEL:
19	JEFF SLY
20	GUEST SPEAKERS:
21	ROY BORGERSEN
22	LEONARD GONZALES
23	
24	00
25	

1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 2 FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 2012, 10:00 A.M. 3 ---000---4 CHAIR HOSHINO: Good morning. I'm calling 5 this meeting of the Prison Industry Authority Board 6 to order at approximately 10:05 a.m., Pacific Standard Time. 8 Good morning. I'm Martin Hoshino, Acting Secretary for the California Department of 9 10 Corrections and Rehabilitation. As part of the roll 11 of Acting Secretary, it is also a privilege to be

the Chairman of the Prison Industry Board.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

I want to welcome all the Board Members, members of the public, Board staff to the meeting today. We thank you, of course, for all your time, your service and your travel to get here today.

On the conference call are Board Members
Chapjian and Masteller, along with CALPIA staff
member Tracy Folau. They're calling in from the
Department of Parks and Recreation and Marine for
the City of Long Beach. This is a publicly noticed
location.

Thank you, Board Member Chapjian for letting us use your facility.

MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Thank you. Welcome.

1	CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you.
2	Before we begin, I will ask the Board
3	secretary to call the roll.
4	MS. GUARE: Good morning. Chair Hoshino.
5	CHAIR HOSHINO: Here.
6	MS. GUARE: Member Almanza.
7	MEMBER ALMANZA: Here.
8	MS. GUARE: Member Chapjian.
9	MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Here.
10	MS. GUARE: Member Davidson.
11	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Here.
12	MS. GUARE: Member Kelly.
13	MEMBER KELLY: Here.
14	MS. GUARE: Member Mastellar.
15	MEMBER MASTELLAR: Here.
16	MS. GUARE: Member Saito.
17	MEMBER SAITO: Here.
18	MS. GUARE: Member Singh.
19	MEMBER SINGH: Here.
20	MS. GUARE: Member Steeb.
21	Member Steeb is absent for the moment.
22	Member Trujillo.
23	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Here.
24	MS. GUARE: Member Woodford is absent.
25	Chair Hoshino, we have a quorum.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you, Secretary.

2.5

Let the record reflect that we have a quorum. At this time I would like to make a motion to recess for closed the session portion of this meeting to discuss pending litigation and other matters pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e)(1). Since we have two members on the phone we need to do a roll call for the vote.

(Closed Session.)

CHAIR HOSHINO: Welcome back, everyone, members of the public, interested parties and Board staff. The Prison Board meeting is now reconvened at approximately 10:27 Pacific Standard Time. For the record, the Board discussed pending litigation in the closed session. And let the record reflect that Member Steeb joined us, probably ten minutes into the closed session.

At this time I would move the agenda item for the Chair's comments. First thing I would like to say is that this is day four for me in the role of Acting Secretary. For some of you I was congratulated and thanked for coming back to work today, which tells me a little bit about the dimension of the job.

As most of you know, Secretary Cate left us

this week, Monday. Tremendous loss, I think, for the Board, for the correctional system at large. His four and a half years was the longest tenure, I think, in recent memory for a Secretary of the correctional system. He wholly transformed many of the ways that we approach, do things, in this particular Department. Certainly led us through some very challenging and difficult times during that four and a half years. So I was lucky enough or privileged enough to at least have enough trust from the administration to at least try and hold the fort down or mind the store, as we say, while the administration searches for a permanent replacement.

I have to say, though, I am not completely in the dark about the PIA. I've been a fan of PIA for quite some time. I encountered it very early on when I worked in the Inspector General's office over ten to 12 years ago. Exposed to some of the programs. And then as a board member for the Board of Parole Hearings, not as a member, but as the Executive Director of that.

The Board Members themselves were frequently interacting with inmates who had gone through these particular programs and had lots of positive feedback from all of that. And we made it a point

to go to certain institutions to tour certain programs. The optics program down south being one of them that comes to mind, as well as some of the programs that existed at Solano State Prison.

So I've always thought PIA was a bit of a bright light in the spectrum of the Department, and certainly would like to commit to doing everything that I possibly can in either a limited role or in a long-term role in helping PIA remain successful in the programs and things that it does. Recognizing, of course, it's challenging times for about every government agency, service, state program along the way; and PIA is no exception to that.

Some of the items that I think we're going to be covering today in terms of action items or informational items reflect the difficulties that we are still trying to manage our way through, given the fiscal condition of the state and the Department at large.

So without delaying any further, I want to go over some of the specific notes. The Board Members could show me the courtesy of identifying themselves when they make particular comments, me not having had the opportunity to get to know each of you personally and know you and know your names.

Perhaps that will come with time.

So I will also ask indulgence from the staff as well and members of the public on this maiden voyage for me that I might struggle or stumble through a little of this stuff, but I will do my best to make sure we cover all the agenda completely, thoroughly and appropriately as well as be mindful of the time that we all have here today.

So today the Board is going to consider the findings of the committee from the November 9th public hearing, the November 9th public hearing, that gathered testimony on three proposals. They were the deactivation of the Modular Building Enterprise at Folsom State Prison, the diminishment of Construction Services and Facilities Maintenance Enterprise at Folsom State Prison, as well as the diminishment of the Career Technical Education Programs statewide.

There are three action items and four information items on today's agenda. I see that we have some members of the public present. There will be an opportunity for the public to comment after each item is presented to the Board Members. If you intend to comment, please make sure that you fill out a speaker request sheet located on the table

near the door and give it to the Board Secretary sitting to my left in the room.

At this time I would invite any Member of the Board, Prison Industry Board, to make any comments. For the Members that are on the phone today, it would be particularly helpful if you would identify yourself. And I think I will start by asking if Members Chapjian or Mastellar would like to comment.

MEMBER MASTELLAR: Not at this time. Thank 11 you.

12 MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Not for me, either.

CHAIR HOSHINO: To the Board Members present in the room.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Mr. Chairman, I chaired the public hearing. If you would like, I will give a verbal report.

CHAIR HOSHINO: That would be great.

19 Member Trujillo.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Thank you. Three items were discussed at the public hearing on November the 9th, 2012. Number one was the deactivation of the Modular Building Enterprise at Folsom State Prison.

Number two, diminishment of Construction Services

and Facilities Maintenance Enterprise at Folsom

State Prison. And number three, diminishment of Career Technical Education, CTE, Programs statewide.

Our General Manager informs me that the transcript of the meeting will be finalized by the transcriber and posted in the next few days.

However, I can provide a short summary of the testimony.

Other than the presentation of Item 1 and Item 2 by the General Manager, there was no public testimony for or against these items. The General Manager's testimony was consistent with the Board's packet information that was provided to all the Board Members.

I chaired the meeting and was joined by Member Woodford and Warden Virga of the California State Prison-Sacramento. I had one specific request, and that was for CALPIA to provide the Prison Industry Board with any evaluations that the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation performed on the CALPIA Modular Building Program and any response that CALPIA has done to these evaluations. Our General Manager has indicated such documents exist, but as of today I have not received these documents.

Mr. Pattillo, can you give me the status of my request?

MR. PATTILLO: I anticipate that the documents will be available probably later today or tomorrow. I know one of the documents was the document sent to me from the Department of Corrections; and as normal protocol, we give them the opportunity for their legal department to review it and to see if there is anything that is possibly subject not to disclosure. In this case, I don't think that is going to be the case.

I just got an email late last night that legal was reviewing it. I thought I'd actually have it by then. I'm hoping later today. One document is authored by me. The second one is authored by the Department of Corrections. We gave them the opportunity to take a look at it.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Thank you, Mr. Pattillo.

Item No. 3, diminishment of the CTE Program and testimony from the public hearing after the General Manager presented the item consistent with the Board package. There was public testimony by the following four people in support of the CTE Program and opposed the diminishment of the Career Technical Education Program statewide.

First speaker, Mr. Leonard Gonzales, Executive Director of the Labor's Training and Retraining

- 1 Trust Fund of Northern California. Mr. Roy
- 2 Borgersen, representing the Ironworkers Local 118
- 3 and vocational instructor at Camp 12, Green Valley
- 4 Training Center, a CALPIA employee. Rick Davis,
- 5 | business manager Ironworkers Local 118. And James
- 6 | Martinez, representing Ironworkers Local 118, a CTE
- 7 trainer at Folsom State Prison.

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- That concludes my report to the Board, but I really have more questions than I have answers.
- If I can, Mr. Chairman, I would like to read those?
- 12 CHAIR HOSHINO: Please proceed.
 - MEMBER TRUJILLO: First, I find it sad that Mr. Pattillo would suggest that the three programs be shuttered. These three programs were personally developed and championed by Mr. Pattillo and helped define his leadership at CALPIA in the deployment of inmates' rehabilitation programs that actually work.
 - Number two, I don't understand why CALPIA has a statutory obligation to remain self-sufficient and that these programs are to be a drag on revenues. However, it is very apparent that these programs save the CDCR and the State of California millions more than they cost. So I can't understand why CDCR is not stepping up to the plate and saving one of

the few programs that I've ever seen work. If you don't believe me, read the CTE report in the back of the meeting binder.

2.5

Number three, I don't understand why CDCR would cease running the CTE Programs as they previously did, and even more so what does CDCR have that works better than what PIA has developed?

Fourth, I don't understand why there seems to be a strain on the working relationship between CDCR and CALPIA when it comes to sharing millions of dollars of work that is going into our prisons.

Number five, the model that CALPIA has developed that uses inmates to provide maintenance and construction services is one that should be expanded and utilized wherever possible.

Number six, as a PIA Board Member and taxpayer, I know this provides job skills and saves millions, ensuring that these men and women don't come back to prison. I'm disappointed that we're talking about killing one of the few programs that work.

Lastly, can I get an explanation why I haven't received the documents that I requested regarding the modular buildings, Mr. Pattillo?

MR. PATTILLO: Those same documents I'm

1 hoping later today or tomorrow, Mr. Trujillo. 2 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Thank you. That 3 concludes my report, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you, Member Trujillo. 5 Any other Board Members for general comments 6 on agenda items today or any other observations? 7 MEMBER SINGH: I move this item. 8 CHAIR HOSHINO: I don't think we are ready 9 for the motion. We are at the general comments part 10 of the agenda. 11 MR. PATTILLO: This is just a report, Mr. 12 Singh. You recall, as you've chaired so many of these, we don't have to adopt the report. 13 It is 14 just a verbal report, giving you the verbal report. 15 You don't have to move to adopt it. 16 Not an action item? MEMBER SINGH: 17 MR. PATTILLO: This one is not an action 18 item. 19 MEMBER SINGH: Okay. 20 CHAIR HOSHINO: Hearing or seeing no 21 comments generally for the agenda, I will turn us to 22 the next agenda item which is comments from the 23 Executive Director. MEMBER TRUJILLO: Mr. Chair, I would like 24 25 to make a suggestion as the chair of the public

policy meeting that I recommend to the Board that

Item 1, the deactivation of that Modular Building

Program at Folsom State Prison, be stayed in place.

Number two, the diminishment of the Construction Services and Facilities Maintenance Enterprise at Folsom State Prison, we can do away with that. And also, number three, diminishment of the Career Technical Education, CTE Programs, we don't want to do away with either one or two. That would be my recommendation to the Board.

CHAIR HOSHINO: So I may not be familiar completely with your parliamentary processes here, but those comments are noted for the record. My intention was today to, when we went to each individual action item, solicit comments from the Board on each item, where we could have as much of a discussion or debate about the subject as appropriate, as well as by comments, invite comments from members of the public or other interested parties.

So, Member Trujillo, I think what we have is your views on record early on, but feel free to restate them when we get to those particular items.

MR. PATTILLO: Yes, on the action items, if Mr. Trujillo wants to restate what his

recommendation is on each item at that point.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Okay.

CHAIR HOSHINO: It would help me to understand as I come up to speed, to track. It will be helpful for me to break them into pieces rather than all at once.

So thank you very much.

Director Pattillo.

MR. PATTILLO: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Members. As it's been identified, I am Charles Pattillo. I am General Manager of Prison Industry Authority and Executive Officer of the Prison Industry Board.

Today we have for your consideration three proposals that were presented to -- let me back up. I forgot to say one thing.

As you all know, Mr. Greenstone passed away two Fridays ago. And on behalf of his family, I wanted to extend thank you very much for all the condolences and the cards and the calls and the letters and emails that his family received. I don't think that we'll see a Board Member that will serve that amount of time or has such an impact for a very long time. So I think a lot of you have 45 more years to spend on the Board to get to that

1 impact. Mr. Singh is the second longest serving Board Member now. 3 We will be expecting a lot more from you, 4 Mr. Singh. But on behalf of the family I want to say thank you very much. He was a loved man by 6 7 everybody, and I personally will miss him. MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Mr. Chairman, if we can 8 adjourn in his memory at the end of this, in 9 10 Leonard's memory, can we do that? 11 MR. PATTILLO: Noted for the Chairman. 12 MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Okav. 13 MR. PATTILLO: Today we have for your 14 consideration three proposals that were presented to 15 the subcommittee at the noticed public hearing on November 9th, as well as three additional action 16 17 items. The three proposals that were heard on 18 November 9th, which Mr. Trujillo chaired and which 19 he brought up, are the deactivation of the Modular 20 Building Enterprise at Folsom State Prison, the 21 diminishment of the Construction Services and 22 Facilities Maintenance Enterprise at Folsom, and the 23 Diminishment of the Career Technical Education 24 Program statewide.

These proposals, along with current CALPIA

2.5

administrative remedies and reduction of CALPIA's civil service positions, both at central office and in the field, will help re-establish profitability and give CALPIA a stronger base from which to grow in the future. But, honestly, as Mr. Trujillo said, I have to agree that they do harm to our mission to reduce recidivism.

We have talked -- I've met with each one of the Board Members individually to talk about what our past year finances are and what our current finances are. We took a significant loss in the past year. Couple things that really impacted us were the cancellation of the fourth quarter orders for CDCR. We actually had higher revenues than the year before. And as you can imagine, CDCR is 62 percent of our revenue, so when they canceled the fourth quarter orders, it is a huge impact, and it wasn't something we were planning on. I don't think it was something that CDCR was planning on until the first day of the fourth quarter, and I understand why they did. And it was just unfortunate.

Our planning this year is taking into consideration the cancellation of the fourth quarter orders again. I don't want to be surprised like that.

Couple others thing that we're doing is trying to reduce as much non-statutory expenditures. And one of those non-statutory expenditures is the CTE Programs. The CTE Programs are great. They do cost us money, but the savings that accrue don't accrue to us. They accrue to the Department of Corrections. I think Mr. Hoshino already noticed that; he realizes what the savings are for those programs for the minimal investment that we are doing on our side.

The other question was -- I think several of you had a question about cash flow and where we are in cash flow-wise. And this loss that we just took in the past year, even though we lost a lot of profit from the last quarter cancellation, we didn't lose a lot of cash overall. Because, as many of you remember, in the first five, six years I was here I invested significantly in capital, equipment. And as we're going by, we have huge depression expenses that we're taking. So last year's depreciation expense was about \$6.2 million, which means that went to the bottom line. Part of that loss that we took last year is mostly depreciation. So it hasn't affected our cash flow. And I'm scared to put this on the record because I know how things work, but we

did have \$72,000,000 in holding four days ago. So our cash flow has not been harmed as much as one would think.

2.5

The other problems that we obviously have, our OPEB is killing us. It's up to \$7.2 million annually. We're one of a couple of departments that pay that. As you guys know, that is the -- we have talked several times about the healthcare for retirees in the future. One of the ways to get around that is the governor's suggested moving retirement age for state employees to 67. So they qualify for Medicare and that eliminates our entire OPEB problem, but I don't think we're going to see that in our day, shape or form.

We are accommodating these things, taking into consideration and we minimize expenses wherever we can.

As I told the committee, the decision to present these proposals is not an easy one. These three programs that we're suggesting that we shut down, as Mr. Trujillo points out, were programs I started, personally. And I came to this Board and asked for this, and I got the support. So for me to ask for these to go down, you know I am serious.

But under Penal Code 2801, we must pursue our

1 mission within the context of maintaining

2 self-sufficiency. Even though we did not maintain

3 profitability last year, we are still

4 self-sufficient. As I just explained our cash flow.

We still have cash. I expect the Board to assist me

6 in moving this whole program forward.

Some of the great things that we do, the benefits don't accrue to us. We need to figure out how those benefits will accrue to us. The biggest benefit is the offenders that don't come back to prison. There is no other program within CDCR, within the State of California or within the United States that has a lower recidivism rate than the programs that CALPIA puts on. I know there is a couple of things that have a lower recidivism rate, but they are not programs. Things like the death penalty.

Because CALPIA suffered a financial setback in 2011-12, more focus needs to be directed at the profitability of each enterprise. Over the years some of the impacts we've had, like the optical program, for an example. That used to be a very profitable program for us at four different locations in the state. But when Medi-Cal was cut back significantly, that really cut back our optical

program. We, unlike most businesses, fund our losers from our winners. Many of you have a business background. And if we had a business that continually lost money every year, we'd eliminate it. We don't do that. We were funding it from the winners. Now some of the winners are suffering.

These steps are offered with great reluctance because, like I said, we generally had enough profitability to allow some unprofitable enterprises to occur. But with OPEB, that is one of the things that we weren't expecting -- or we were expecting. They just won't go away. Specifically, OPEB. One of the things we're looking at right now is this Board puts aside \$7,0000,000 in a cash account for OPEB.

We are looking to contract with PERS to invest that, allow PERS to invest that money instead of going into the pooled money investment account which only has a 1 percent return a year. It makes sense that, with the approval of the Board, looking at what a safe investment would be for the State to put that money into a higher earning account. That way we reduce our liabilities going forward. Those are the kind of things we're looking at. So I will expect some help from the Board when we get closer

to looking at that.

The other short-term implementations are a hiring freeze and cessation of some non-statutory activities that are in my immediate purview. That includes layoffs. Layoffs is not an issue where I come back to the Board and ask, say, I'm going to layoff X amount of folks." We're not closing factories that do some of these layoffs. We have positions that we've identified out in the field. Approximately 27.

MR. WALKER: Yes.

MR. PATTILLO: About 27 positions that we've identified out in the field that are not filled or are filled and they will be put into a layoff plan. Some of those are in central office. We have about 15 in the central office. Work will be spread. It's not going to affect too many things. One of the things that's really affecting us is prison realignment and the number of prisoners coming down.

Mr. Chair, I'm trying to think of the total number that we've done since the beginning of the year, 34,000.

CHAIR HOSHINO: In perspective, since the height or peak of overcrowding, we've come down

1 44,000 inmates. Since October 1st, it's 24,000. 2 October 1st being implementation day of realignment.

MR. PATTILLO: Those were some of our customers. Not only is it hurting us on the customer side - I'm not saying it's a bad thing - it's also hurting us on the type of inmate that we're working with. We're losing a lot of the inmates. Fire camps do have a higher priority. I agree with that.

Mr. Saito, you run a fire camp, so you know how this works.

But at the end of the day, if you look at our numbers, we have a better success rate with higher level offenders, which is kind of something that was very surprising for me to look at. Level 2, 3 and 4 offenders have a lower recidivism rate than our Level 1's. I think the common theme is that Level 1 prisoners, once they get to minimum security, don't get their mind right until they really understand they're going to do some serious time. Just my unscientific bias.

One of the things I was struck by at the public hearing was the extent of support from the labor community. We have two labor representatives on our Board. We have some folks here that are from

the trainers from that program. This is our CTE Program we created in 2006. It's going to be very hard to replicate that by any means, shape or form.

Vocational education in prisons in doing construction work is not the same thing that we do. We actually go out there and do work. We reduce costs for state parks, local parks. We don't do enough in the institutions versus vocational education class that learns how to build houses using popsicle sticks. It is kind of a different thing, different way of doing stuff.

I don't think anything that -- I think the union folks that are here today are going to share -- a lot of them have been involved in other programs, and nothing they've ever seen compares to what we are doing here.

If there aren't any questions, I'm prepared to start with the first item.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you. I want to demonstrate that I'm picking this up. So turning to the next agenda item on action items that will be presented by General Manager Pattillo. I think I keep referring to you --

MR. PATTILLO: I'm Executive Officer today.

CHAIR HOSHINO: There you go. Let me restate that. I'm not quite figuring it out yet.

Director-General-Manager Patillo.

MR. PATTILLO: When I first started, there were two positions here. There was an Executive Officer and a General Manager. In 2006 they eliminated the Executive Officer position and appointed me to that when I was deputy. And when I become the General Manager, they did not allow us to backfill the position. So that is kind of how that happened.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Let me do this: Chuck, why don't you takes us through the action items.

MR. PATTILLO: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

The first item is approval of the report from Prison Industry Board Career Technical Education Programs, Fiscal Year 2007 to 2008, 2010-11. We are asking the Board -- this is a report to the Board. This is a report on the expenditures of the program that we started in 2006. We started scoring this in 2007. I believe the report is in your binder. When you pull it out, it has a pretty picture on the front of it.

This report shows how the CTE Program benefits offenders, enhances public safety and provides

savings to government entities at all levels. We're going to go into more history and background of CTE Program in a later item.

Since its implementation - it was actually 2006, but in 2007 we did the full scoring - the CTE Programs reduced recidivism rate by enhancing vocational skills, promoting education and providing employment assistance. This report documents that CTE participation lessens downstream criminal justice costs and has the potential to greatly reduce these costs in proportion to the program's size. Recidivism consumes criminal justice resources in three general categories: arrest, judicial and reincarceration.

Data for the paroled CTE Program participants shows that, cumulatively, from Fiscal Year '07-08 to '10-11, released CALPIA CTE graduates have a recidivism rate of 7.13 percent. That is cumulative rate. The only thing lower than that is the individual dive rate, which I think is down to four, five.

So the participants perform a variety of projects that result in labor cost avoidance. I think we have a list in there of the jobs that we did at host institutions and multiple projects that

we did at very low cost. That was where the other savings came in. The recidivism cost avoidance from the program for those years was over \$10,000,000.

And we completed 17 designated projects, totaling 21,889 project hours. Between going out and using the way we did it and private contractor costs, the total estimated project cost avoidance was \$2.5 million. The report documents it's an effective rehabilitation program that provides savings to taxpayers at every level. And it's proven that changing criminal behavior requires strategic investment in effective programs. And this was a strategic investment.

2.5

We funded the first part of this program through a contract with CDCR. Even when that contract stopped, I asked this Board to continue the funding on because I knew the study was going on, and I really didn't want to not complete the study. So this is a report just to the Board. It will be a public document. We vetted this. This is probably the sixth time you've seen this over a year and a half that we've been working on it.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Any comments or questions from Members of the Board on the report?

I do have one question. I just want to make

sure I am reading the one section of the report right. It kind of frames some of the discussion of things that the Board has been looking at for some time and probably will be in the future as you go through change. There is a section of the impact of the realignment, and there it talks about -- and I think I read that approximately 60 percent of the population that ordinarily would be in some of the programs, especially the Career Technical Education Programs, 60 percent of those folks you ordinarily see would not be in our system because they would be the non, non, non-folks that would be in the communities.

MR. PATTILLO: You're correct. That is going to skew the outgoing results. So we're trying to figure out -- because one of the questions we will keep updating this, but that is really going to skew the results. So this may be a study end here because those folks may not be coming back at all anymore.

But the focus on our CTE Programs going forward was planned on being -- moving that into folks that are higher level classifications. Some of the folks, that no matter what, are going to end up coming back. What I mean, if they do something out

1	in the community, they're not going to be exempt
2	from coming back.
3	CHAIR HOSHINO: Understood. Any other
4	comments from Members of the Board?
5	Seeing none, is there any member from the
6	public - I don't have a card - is there any member
7	of the public that would like to address this item?
8	Seeing none, is there a motion to approve the
9	action item for approval of the report to the Prison
10	Industry Board.
11	MEMBER STEEB: So moved.
12	MEMBER SAITO: Second. Member Saito.
13	CHAIR HOSHINO: Please call the roll.
14	MS. GUARE: Chair Hoshino.
15	CHAIR HOSHINO: Aye.
16	MS. GUARE: Member Almanza.
17	MEMBER ALMANZA: Aye.
18	MS. GUARE: Member Chapjian.
19	MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Aye.
20	MS. GUARE: Member Davidson.
21	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
22	MS. GUARE: Member Kelly.
23	MEMBER KELLY: Aye.
24	MS. GUARE: Member Masteller.
25	MEMBER MASTELLER: Aye.

1 MS. GUARE: Member Saito. 2 MEMBER SAITO: Aye. 3 MS. GUARE: Member Singh. 4 MEMBER SINGH: Yes. 5 MS. GUARE: Member Trujillo. 6 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye. 7 MS. GUARE: Thank you. Mr. Pattillo. 8 CHAIR HOSHINO: 9 MR. PATTILLO: The second item is the 10 deactivation of the Modular Building Enterprise at 11 Folsom State Prison. 12 PIA proposes to deactivate the Modular 13 Building Enterprise due to a lack of revenue for 14 modular buildings over the past 24 months and an absence of new orders in the immediate future. 15 must reduce fixed costs within the overall 16 17 operations, as we spoke before, while retaining the 18 ability to re-establish the Modular Building 19 Enterprise if and when there is a resumption in 20 demand for the product. 21 This proposal will result in a corresponding diminishment of the existing CTE Program there, plus 22 23 at FSP, and three CTE Programs that operate within 24 the Folsom MBE - carpentry, labor and ironworks. The MBE was established to manufacture modular 25

buildings for the Department of Corrections and other government agencies, based on space demand for medical programs and admin purposes. We provide construction skills, as I mentioned, for assigned inmate workers. Concurrently, a CTE program was established for local trade unions to certify inmate workers who complete the required training curriculum. CDCR provided financial support for individual CTE Programs, but that funding ceased in '11-12. The corresponding CTE rate for these part of the programs was just a little bit under 10 percent.

Demand for modular buildings has been declining since a high of \$25.3 million in '08-09 to a current revenue estimate of zero. We initially had a revenue estimate of \$1.5 million, but we don't think that's going to materialize. The Board had actually authorized us \$3,000,000 to build a couple prototypes. We had some difficulty getting those prototypes out on the ground. We just put our second one on the ground yesterday.

MR. WALKER: Wednesday.

MR. PATTILLO: At least it ran over all the gates getting it up there. It's at Camp 12 now. If you guys come out to Camp 12, it will be the

emergency operations center. It is being cross-designated as a Homeland Security training facility. The whole idea of this is to build three facilities that folks can come out and get a feel for them and want to buy them.

The other project we built was a telemedicine facility at Pelican Bay that we installed about six months ago. It is in operation, this emergency operations center. And a third that one we're trying to -- we're going to have to scrape some more dollars together for a new modular light, which is the lightest. I think pretty much everybody here has been out to the modular factory before. We are moving away from cement bottoms and moving the cement out of it. Lighten up the product. And we're going to build a mini office building out at our location.

We're trying to scrape the dollars together to get that one done. I may come back to the Board in January to see what I'll need to finish that project. I think it is very important that, even though we are talking about deactivating the facility, that we continue building these prototypes so we have customers who can see what is going on, and we can possibly open this facility back up.

If we don't -- one of the problems if we don't approve this right now, it takes 120 days to do any kind of layoff or movement of people. And that's what kind of hurt us in the fourth quarter last year. When fourth quarter orders got canceled, there wasn't a whole lot I could do. I had to keep factories open, and they were idle, and I had to continue to pay folks. Because under self-service organization, it takes 120 days minimum to do any kind of movement. We can't even ask people to move to other jobs in this case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The reduction demand is primarily because CDCR shifted to large construction projects versus modular buildings. That original 25,000,000 that was from a couple years ago was two Secretaries ago, and I going to the Legislature and asking the Legislature, Jim Tilton, and asking the Legislature for an appropriation of \$25,000,000. What was left, when we used to have things called budget dust at the end of the year, to build a bunch of prototypes. We built a total of 100 individual And we did. units out of that \$25,000,000. Eight drug treatment facilities throughout the state; and we did this all within about an 18-month period. That was a real high number. We were running the factory seven days a week, ten hours a day.

As I mentioned, we have the prototypes under construction. CALPIA only manufactures modular buildings at Folsom.

The proposed deactivation of the MBE would have a positive impact on CALPIA as this would increase overall gross profitability of CALPIA, and we would no longer subsidize this business from revenue derived from more profitable lines.

10 However, the deactivation will necessitate canceling

11 three significant training contracts with local

12 trade unions for the purpose of the CTE Programs.

13 And these costs have been a direct expense since

14 CDCR ceased funding the program at about 810,000 a

15 year.

It would lose 66 inmate assignments that would be eliminated due to this deactivation and that equates to about -- I think we're upwards of 2.4-, \$2.5 million to recidivism savings on an annual basis.

There are seven civil service positions that will be affected. Two are currently vacant - construction supervisor II, construction supervisor I, industrial supervisor of the metal shop and utility supervisor. Architectural assistant is open

and accounting technician. A couple of these folks have already been moved in local areas. Affected employees will undergo processing in accordance with Cal HR and our Human Resources protocol. Consistent with civil service timelines, actual layoffs, if they occur, if they can't be placed in other PIA operations, would not occur sooner than 120 days from today.

There is minimal impact to local economy from this, except for building suppliers who we buy building materials from. The biggest impact, I would say, from this is, again, the impact that we have on recidivism. We have 66 assignments there. We were running at one time 150 through there. Every one of these folks who goes through there goes through the CTE Program and gets a job when they get out. Very few guys ever come back from this one.

So with that, I would recommend that the PIB approve the deactivation of this factory effective January 1, 2013. It is anticipated actions associated would not be completed until April 30th, but this would not prevent us from continuing to build prototypes and keeping the factory open in case there is business that comes in the next couple months. This is just an authority to do so we don't

1 have to wait 120 days. 2 CHAIR HOSHINO: Questions or comments from Board Members? 3 4 MEMBER KELLY: When you deactivate a 5 facility, do you have to have a public hearing again 6 to reactivate it? 7 MR. PATTILLO: Technically, no. have a further discussion on it. But if we do a 8 warm deactivation like this, if we have to bring it 9 10 I only have to have public notice of it. up, no. 11 MEMBER KELLY: So that would open us up to 12 all -- I remember the history of this project, you know, when we started it. We had -- first of all, 13 14 we went after the look at the inmate day labor, start a project. And they ran screaming out of the 15 16 room. As a matter of fact, they ran so far that 17 they changed the authority of the Board that they no longer have authority over them at all. How afraid 18 they were of us. We have to do some training. 19 20 Maybe not all the money would go to building something. But it bothers me that we deactivate 21 22 this, and we have to go through all that again. 23 Sometimes it is better to be lucky than good. 24 I think we were lucky when we started these programs 25 and that everybody who is in the room now wasn't

there. Some of us were. The governor's changed and the secretary changed. The Board Members have changed. The business representatives who were at a meeting changed. So we have to go back and go through all that again. It might not be so easy next time. We need to think really hard about looking where there is money, to go after it to try to keep these things open. Because once they're gone, we'll have the same thing we have now with inmate day labor where they're taking all the money out of the projects and they're not putting anything back.

Something for the Board to think about before we vote to deactivate.

MR. PATTILLO: Mr. Kelly, you bring up a great point. Everything has changed. I still have the scars, though, from those hearings. I just leaned over to Mr. Walker, my operations manager, and one of the options we could do is basically do a warm shutdown on the factory with notification of the Board, just like we're doing here. I don't think it would require a - I'm hoping my attorney is listening to me over here - I don't think it would require a vote, except maybe an amended vote, that would allow me to do the actions that I need to do

personnel wise, but leave it in a warm shut down 1 2 with one person. That way we don't have to, when we 3 get to the point where we do bring it back up, we 4 don't have to go through all the hearings again. 5 That may be a suggested way to go. CHAIR HOSHINO: 6 Thank you. 7 Other Members, comments or questions? 8 MEMBER SINGH: Mr. Pattillo, how many inmates would be affected with this? 9 10 MR. PATTILLO: Sixty-six, Mr. Singh. 11 MEMBER SINGH: Thank you. 12 CHAIR HOSHINO: Member Almanza. 13 MEMBER ALMANZA: We did go into this warm 14 shutdown. Right now there are zero orders, and you 15 don't anticipate any orders. It would be for what, at least a year or two or -- at what point do we 16 17 determine that there is no more business for this 18 manufacturing? 19 MR. PATTILLO: We have a couple things that 20 we actually do there. I didn't bring it up because 21 they don't produce a lot of revenue, but they may be 22 enough to offset it. One is building a lot of 23 precast furniture. We are building park benches and things like that. 24

The other thing that we're doing out there,

2.5

actually for your organization, is the recovery of old furniture. We are doing all the grinding out there and whatnot. So we could bring it down to, I would say, one person as a supervisor and the inmate crew and leave it on a warm shutdown and redirect overhead from that factory.

MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Chuck, you as General Manager, what would you like to do?

MR. PATTILLO: Well, Mr. Chapjian, when I came into the room today, my proposal was to shut it down, and Mr. Kelly brought up something. Because if we are going to have to go through public hearings, which I have no problems with, but potentially giving the ability of not being able to reactive is more of a threat to me than anything else.

Ms. Steeb's talked to me several times about the marketing of these buildings. We are in the process of doing some different marketing tactics for these buildings. I think my recommendation would be more in line with what Mr. Kelly has brought up, allow us to bring it down to a minimal number of staff. Deactivate the actual operation of the facility and just keep it in a warm shutdown for the time being.

1	MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Would you like another
2	month to discuss that and see whether or not you can
3	do that and then come back, or would you like an
4	amended motion?
5	MR. PATTILLO: I think I'd probably like an
6	amended motion that would allow me to go forward
7	with my personnel issues because 120 days is the
8	thing that's going to kill me. And then I also know
9	Secretary Hoshino is working in the background
10	keeping some of the CTE operations going, and I
11	think he'll bring that up when it comes time to
12	speak to that.
13	Maybe an amended motion to allow us to move
14	ahead with personal actions as needed, and
15	understanding that we will keep the factory warm
16	with a minimum number, maybe one person basically to
17	just manage that facility.
18	MEMBER CHAPJIAN: I'm okay with that.
19	Curtis, are you okay that?
20	MEMBER KELLY: I'm fine with that.
21	MEMBER CHAPJIAN: I support that.
22	CHAIR HOSHINO: Any other comments?
23	MEMBER SINGH: We can amend the motion.
24	CHAIR HOSHINO: We have two things in front
25	of us here. Before getting to this, I did want to

-- I was going to save this for a little later. I understand the difficult decision the Board is making and has already made, I presume. This is a difficult thing.

2.5

We do have a correctional system, however, that's completely transformed from where it was, where it is and essentially still where it is headed. I understand the decisions. I'm the person in the role that has been supervising or monitoring the development and trying to steer as safely as possible the \$1,000,000,000 reduction to the budget last fiscal year. These are savings that are to grow to \$1.5 billion. We have made a lot of tough calls and a lot of tough choices along the way, the very best that we can.

I encourage all the Board Members and members of the public and people to advocate as forcefully and as strongly for the things that you believe in and things you think are most effective. Because I think that's how we find out and we learn as we enter these particular decisions how important they are and that we truly need to understand the ramifications and effect of these, because they are really, really difficult decisions.

So as a preface to that, I think on this

particular item we have two motions in front of us that are being contemplated. One, the original one, that is as recommended, to begin the deactivation — not to begin it, but to actually execute on the deactivation of this particular program. And then one to slightly, to deactivate, but to leave it in what we are referring to as a warm shutdown mode with a very minimal amount of investment.

I think Executive Officer-General Manager
Patillo identified that he would at least leave one
employee on the ground there, which is what we have
done, frankly, in some over larger facilities. Just
to keep the lights on and pipes running and the
lights to make sure that we do have an opportunity,
and we all certainly hope we will, that we're able
to buy back some of these programs and restart them.
We can get to where we want to go a little bit
quicker.

So I will look to what I think was an emerging place for the Board and start by asking members of the public to now comment on this subject, if they wish to.

Then seeing none and hearing none, looking to a Board Member to make a motion in support of what I felt like we were headed, which is the deactivation

1	with a warm shutdown amendment to it.
2	MEMBER CHAPJIAN: I will make a motion.
3	CHAIR HOSHINO: Any second?
4	MEMBER SINGH: I second.
5	CHAIR HOSINO: Please call the role.
6	MS. GUARE: Chair Hoshino.
7	CHAIR HOSHINO: Aye.
8	MS. GUARE: Member Almanza.
9	MEMBER ALMANZA: Aye.
10	MS. GUARE: Member Chapjian.
11	MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Yes.
12	MS. GUARE: Member Davidson.
13	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
14	MS. GUARE: Member Kelly.
15	MEMBER KELLY: Aye.
16	MS. GUARE: Member Masteller.
17	MEMBER MASTELLAR: Aye.
18	MS. GUARE: Member Saito.
19	MEMBER SAITO: Aye.
20	MS. GUARE: Member Singh.
21	MEMBER SINGH: Yes.
22	MS. GUARE: Member Trujillo.
23	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye.
24	CHAIR HOSHINO: Motion carries. Let the
25	record reflect also that the first action item,

which is the approval and adoption of the report, was also an item that carried by vote. I think I neglected to close that item out.

So next action item, Mr. Patillo.

MEMBER STEEB: Mr. Chairman, I didn't get a chance to vote. I just want to be on record with my yes as well.

MS. GUARE: Sorry.

MR. CHAPJIAN: Curtis, thank you for taking us down that direction.

MR. PATTILLO: Diminishment of the Construction Services and Facilities Maintenance Enterprise at Folsom State Prison.

PIA proposes the diminishment of the Construction Services and Facilities Maintenance Enterprise at Folsom State Prison. The Folsom CSFM has failed to achieve forecasted revenue in both Fiscal Years '10-11 and '11-12 as demand for these services at FSP and the surrounding area has not materialized. We have not been profitable at the gross level for the last two years.

CSFM Enterprises continue to operate at California State Prison-Solano and California Men's Colony in San Luis Obispo. We will maintain one construction supervisor within the CSFM and Northern

California and Southern California to facilitate future construction services internal to PIA.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CSFM was established to service both internal CALPIA customers and external tax-supported customers such as the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Parks and Rec, and Statewide revenues were projected at CalExpo. \$6,000,000 annually once the CSFM was fully operational, but that hasn't materialized. The forecasted revenue for CSFM has not materialized primarily due to reduction of the budget that led to fewer construction projects and, therefore, reduced opportunity for the CSFM Enterprise to generate revenue, including a reduction in the demand for CALPIA modular buildings. CSFM is actually the part of our arm that does installation of modular buildings. This has caused Folsom some CSFM to be unprofitable at the gross level for the past two years.

The CSFM at Solano has proven to be effective and profitable, and this is our fatalities maintenance arm. We handle facilities maintenance at CSFM-Solano. The model will continue to operate, and it's anticipated to expand to the new Stockton Medical Facility. And we also have an additional

CSFM project at CMC. We are doing lead asbestos abatements at Camp Roberts.

Given the constrictions being experienced by our potential customer base for CSFM, which is primarily construction support services, it is unlikely to be viable in the foreseeable future, and rather than use CSFM as we thought in the beginning, CDCR is using more of the IWL, which is more of a state employee run system with some inmates. Based on a two-year trend, it appears unlikely sufficient revenue can be secured to allow CSFM to operate at a gross profit.

There are a total of 33 planned inmate assignments that will be eliminated due to this action. These inmates participate in or are graduates of the carpenters, laborers and ironworkers. Affected inmates may have an opportunity to apply to for other assignments with CALPIA or CDCR. There are six civil service positions statewide that will be affected. One currently vacant. Affected employees will go through the Cal HR process and our HR process.

Our recommendation is similar to what Member Kelly just did. We are diminishing this; we are not closing this. We are just diminishing this so we

can do our HR process. We've got too many folks
there and not enough work. And we're talking it
down to one person in Northern California. And when
things do pick back up, we will be able to expand it
again, so it wouldn't require any hearings. But
diminishment does require the approval of this

CHAIR HOSHINO: Questions or comments from the Board?

Board.

MEMBER KELLY: Member Kelly. I would like to comment. Kind of going to what I said in the first part. There is a lot of construction going on in prisons. And, you know, not that I want to bash construction services that inmate day labor does, I can't believe there is no way for us to find a way to get enough money to convert enough projects from them to keep our program running the way it was before. It just -- our program works. You all see the back of the book. It works.

It seems to be really the only thing that works, and we're just going to shut it down because we can't seem to get work. It baffles me how that happens that we had an opportunity to have construction inmate day labor be part of this program. We asked them to be in the program. They

1 | went running away. You know, if it's nothing more

2 than convict labor that we are doing. You know,

3 there is no training. There is no you-have-to-have

4 a GED, you have to have a high school diploma.

5 There is nothing. And I don't understand how we can

6 let that happen.

I guess my comments really are to you,
Mr. Secretary, the new Mr. Secretary, I guess to
say: How do we change that? Is there some way we
can divert some of those projects over to our
program that actually does what we're supposed to be
doing is reducing the recidivism rate in California
for the taxpayers?

CHAIR HOSHINO: So let me give you one general comment, one specific one. The first one being that I don't think I am informed enough or know enough about the differences between the two programs. I will tell you what I do know about the other program is that I don't think I agree with the characterization that there aren't any standards and there are aren't any results.

With the limited knowledge that I have about that program is it similarly has results related to it. I think one of the things that starts to drive it is the pressures of the pace of construction. A

- 1 lot of this is tied to what is the CDCR blueprint in
- 2 going forward. There are some very tight timelines.
- 3 The blueprint being the architecture of how the
- 4 Department -- what the Department's business plan
- 5 | will be for the next two or three years. It was
- 6 proposed by administration. Adopted by the
- 7 Legislature.
- 8 So this is just a general answer. There is a
- 9 lot of pace that is required to that. However this
- 10 program here fits into that program, I don't know.
- 11 Maybe that is an item for some discussion. But,
- 12 again, I think a lot of high level policy calls were
- 13 made in this particular area. Again, I go back to
- 14 my earlier comment generally about the difficulty of
- 15 these particular decisions. I recognize that and
- 16 that some of these are zero sum. And that there are
- 17 perceived winners and losers on these things.
- 18 I would just close by commending the Executive
- 19 Officer here for coming up with some of these
- 20 recommendations. It can't be easy to make, to
- 21 present to this Board.
- So, now any other comments or questions from
- 23 | Members of the Board?
- 24 MEMBER TRUJILLO: I have a comment,
- 25 Mr. Secretary. I, too, find it very disappointing

that we have these programs that work. And under the public testimony meeting on the sixth, we had member speak about inmates that had this training and had later gotten release dates and had actually gone to work. Not with a job, but with a career in the construction industry. And it just seems odd to me that a program that works so well, that the Department of Corrections uses to not fund it anymore.

So I see we do have some labor people in the audience. They might like to speak to this. I don't know. It's very disappointing to me all the years that we've spent - and I've been on this Board for a while - that these careers that it's offering these inmates when they get a release date, is a tremendous thing not only to the taxpayers who are the public, but it's very troublesome to me when we're talking about shutting a program down that works so well.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Other comments or questions, Members of the Board?

MEMBER MASTELLER: Member Masteller.

I just wanted to thank Member Kelly for the direction in the last comment in the last item and this one. And I also have a concern. I understand

that this is a proposal to diminish the construction services, but I wonder what it is that we are doing to market these services. It seems to me that maybe some of our focus on this Board should be to business aspects of what we can do to keep it going and look at exploring different items and different opportunities where we can create jobs, create work for this particular skillset.

2.5

And then, secondly, I just have an informational question because I don't know the answer to this. With our last action item and this one, we have a total of approximately a hundred inmates that wouldn't be working. And I'm wondering are there a hundred other jobs within PIA for them to receive an education or training or opportunity with? And if there is not, what is typically occurring with a hundred dormant inmates?

MR. PATTILLO: Member Masteller, for the level of security for the inmates that are involved in this program we have probably an additional ten that we can take on at central office, doing various facilities maintenance out there. But for the remaining 90 there wouldn't be anything for PIA for them to do.

MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Member Chapjian.

Chuck, what is the fund balance? You say 1 2 70,000,000? 3 MR. PATTILLO: As of yesterday morning or 4 Wednesday morning, \$72,000,000. 5 MEMBER CHAPJIAN: I'm just wondering for 6 the Board -- maybe this is something that is 7 important that we fund for another year. We do have a fund balance of 72,000,000, and then see what 8 happens. Work on it with CDCR and, you know, labor 9 10 folks and talk to the governor about this. 11 MR. PATTILLO: I know when you look at 12 these three items, they are so intertwined. We have 13 the modular factory which has CTE intertwined. Wе have CSFM that has CTE. And then the next item 14 15 after this is really the actual funding of that CTE. 16 I think your question would more apply to the next 17 In this case what we're doing is just diminishing. We're reducing the civil service folks 18 19 that are in there. Unfortunately, there are some 20 inmates that are associated with that, and on top of 21 that there is the CTE Program that is the next item. 22 MEMBER CHAPJIAN: Okay. 23 MEMBER STEEB: Before Secretary Cate left,

he and I discussed doing a retreat, a Board retreat.

Because we foresaw with Chuck's help that there was

24

25

going to be some serious issues going on that needed more participation of the Board than we can allow in these kinds of meetings.

So I really think after hearing Member
Masteller's comments and Member Kelly's comments, I
would like to see the Board come together in some
formal strategic planning process that we can really
deliberate on these very, very critical decisions.
We're not just talking about 99 inmates. We're
talking about families. We're talking about the
possibility of them and the things that they can do
once they are out and once they are employed, and
the lives they affect by doing that.

So I would really love to see our Board take the time in January to come together and really deliberate and better understand the options, better understand what kind of marketing is being done, what kind of other things we might be able to help with before we make such difficult decisions.

MR. PATTILLO: Member Steeb, on this one actually, and this is as good a time to pick this one up as any.

Member Steeb and Chairman Cate had tried to put together a strategic planning session, and once we got rolling on the planning, then with Matt

checked out for his new position, we're looking at right now between -- as we know, Martin, nothing happens before January 10th.

January 10th, Mr. Davidson, is a budget deadline.

So nothing really occurs. We're looking at between January 10th and 30th to put together a strategic planning session for this Board that we can have more ongoing without action items to occur. Ms. Steeb has graciously offered up her organization's restaurant at The Depot or maybe the her new one downtown I saw in the paper this morning. So we can have several hours of discussion regarding strategic planning over all of the organization.

So to just divert a little bit from that. As it is for this one item here, I need the Board's concurrence to reduce the civil service portion of this. It is not going away. There is still going to be somebody there. And Mr. Kelly's point and to my scars' memory, it won't require a hearing to bring that back up in case we have that.

Mr. Kelly's point and Mr. Trujillo's point, that is disappointing. There is a ton of work out there, and we're not getting the work. Maybe that's

something with Secretary Hoshino we can work on it a little more. I do understand the timelines, but there is also work out there to be done. We just -and I'll be very frank about it. It is probably a tug and pull between that program that you mentioned, Mr. Kelly, and our program and what is going on out there. I think both of you have been around long enough to see the difference between the two programs, how they work and what the strengths are and what the weaknesses are.

MEMBER KELLY: If I misspoke about inmate day labor, actual having a program, I apologize.

But for years sitting on this Board I have dealt with inmate day labor since June of 1988. So I know a little bit about it. If I misspoke, I apologize.

But one thing we were always -- we had this great service. We do this great thing. Everybody goes, "Put up or shut up".

Where is the recidivism? Where is the documentation? Where is the -- so we had to stop what we were doing and come up with these programs. Pay all the money to do it all. And now you see what it says in the back of the book. We actually have a great effect. So if construction services is doing something like that, I sure would like to see

1 it on paper. I haven't seen it, like I said, since 2 June of '88.

MEMBER CHAPJIAN: This is Member Chapjian.

I have to leave for a meeting, so I have to excuse

myself.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you, Member Chapjian.

Let the record reflect that Member Chapjian
has left the meeting.

My comment would be: I like this idea if
there is a way to do some strategic planning or some
caucusing on these larger subjects. I can see today
it is difficult to make some decisions that feel
like one-off decisions when there is a larger,
broader discussion to have about where we are and
where we're headed. This is not unlike the
assessment that every organization has been going
through, again, as we go through the population drop
and the change in the transformation of the system.

Let me reiterate the point. I think it is entirely appropriate. You just simply do not have a population that you had before, not just in terms of raw numbers. Again, we're 45,000 or so less than we were before, and that happened over the course of three years. But nothing more traumatic than what happened in the span of a less than a year from the

October start date of realignment. And so there has been some recobbling together, and it's an entirely new and different inmate population profile. And there is a classification system that is being approved and revisited in the system.

2.5

In short order, the entire correctional system in terms of its population has been renormed and reset. It's entirely appropriate, I think, to talk about what then is the future for the Prison Industry Authority and the things that we know about and draw on all the traditions and things that we do know that work. Sounds like we are positioned. We have a lot of history here which will be terrific to be used in that vein.

However, I do also recognize, being from operations and having to manage programs, that the Executive Director does need some authority to at least move forward on some things, given the pace of it. And I think what I hear from Mr. Pattillo is, if there is a one-off kind of decision to make that still preserves our ability to maneuver in the future, no matter what comes out of the strategic planning efforts on this particular item, this would be one.

So hearing that, saying that, I will seek the

motion from a Member of the Board to proceed as recommended on this particular item.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Mr. Chairman, before we proceed, is there a way of tabling this thing for late before taking a vote?

CHAIR HOSHINO: We can do that, but it puts, I think, pressure on the Executive Director here because of the calculations he's making in terms of savings and viability; that with every month of delay that means maybe there is other harder decisions to be made later.

So I just would put that in front of Board Members. I have been in the discussions before. The problems you're solving in June of the fiscal year is one thing. If you haven't solved it and you're going into December, January, February, March, the impact of that decision grows exponentially in terms of the pressure on it. It is one thing to ask for a million dollars in June. If you don't make that hard decision in June, you're looking for \$7,0000,000 when you get to January or February. That's the best way I can describe it for members.

MR. PATTILLO: This is actually -- this is one of those ones that is separate from CTE. CTE

1 underlines it. What I'm looking for is just really 2 the authority to do my moves on civil service 3 employment. The next item is actually the CTE item 4 that you called me about that I think that I 5 envision you asking me to table the next item. 6 MEMBER TRUJILLO: I plan on doing that, 7 also. 8 MEMBER MASTELLAR: Yes. 9 CHAIR HOSHINO: Testimony from public on this item? 10 11 Seeing none, one last call. Member comments? 12 Mr. Saito? 13 MEMBER SAITO: No. 14 CHAIR HOSINO: A motion in support of the recommendation. 15 16 MEMBER SAITO: I move approval. 17 MEMBER MASTELLAR: I second. Member 18 Masteller. CHAIR HOSHINO: Masteller seconds. Please 19 call the roll. 20 21 MS. GUARE: Chair Hoshino. 22 CHAIR HOSHINO: Aye. 23 MS. GUARE: Member Almanza. 24 MEMBER ALMANZA: Aye. 25 MS. GUARE: Member Chapjian. He's gone.

1	MS. GUARE: Member Davidson.
2	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
3	MS. GUARE: Member Kelly.
4	MEMBER KELLY: Aye.
5	MS. GUARE: Member Masteller.
6	MEMBER MASTELLAR: Aye.
7	MS. GUARE: Member Saito.
8	MEMBER SAITO: Aye.
9	MS. GUARE: Member Singh.
10	MEMBER SINGH: Aye.
11	MS. GUARE: Member Steeb.
12	MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
13	MS. GUARE: Member Trujillo.
14	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye.
15	CHAIR HOSHINO: Motion carries.
16	Thank you, Members.
17	Next item.
18	MR. PATTILLO: Members, before you all ask
19	for this next item to be tabled, if you would allow
20	me to let me present it.
21	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Absolutely.
22	MR. PATTILLO: Item No. 4 is diminishment
23	of Career Technical Education Programs statewide.
24	CALPIA proposes to diminish its remaining five
25	Career Technical Education Programs operating at

1 three separate correctional institutions due to our 2 inability to self-fund the programs. Right now 3 we're running five. We were up to eight when we 4 were under contract, and those other ones were at 5 CCWF, CIM, and we had both FSP and New Folsom. Five 6 programs are carpentry at Folsom State Prison, 7 California Institution for Women, construction labor 8 at FSP, ironworking at FSP, and the Marine Technology Training Center at the California 9 10 Institution for Men. The three programs at Folsom 11 were actually slated to switch over to women's 12 programs in December, depending on whether or not, 13 or when the New Folsom women's program comes up. 14 Mr. Kelly, I saw your eyebrows rise up. 15 know that when I was at your office the other day I 16 noticed the big sign on the wall, was send us your 17 women. The CALPIA CTE Program --18 MEMBER KELLY: I didn't write that. MR. PATTILLO: I know what it meant. 19 20 The CALPIA CTE Program, established in 2006, 21 was the first of its kind in the nation to partner 22 an offender rehabilitation program with trade unions 23 to serve the rehabilitative needs of offenders. 24 It's now been replicated throughout the United

States. We were the first.

25

The CTE program provides a well-trained labor force for respective trades in which certification was received. The primary concept for CTE was the construction trade. Each of the program's success was enhanced with the ability to partner with union and non-union companies to employ the trained offenders upon parole. The overall recidivism rate for this program for the recorded Fiscal Years '08 through '011 is 7.13 percent.

The first CTE Program consisted of a carpentry training program at Camp 12. I think most of you have been up to our Camp 12. It's at the top of the hill overlooking the lake. That used to be a - trying to think of the word - a condemned facility full of bullet holes. Now it is a regional training center and an engineering center that we brought back to life. It is the greatest example of bringing a state facility back to life using inmate workforce. Otherwise that facility would never have been done, and every one of those guys went out and got a job.

The program included a training agreement between the Northern California Carpenters, which was our first. And CALPIA had to train 40 inmates in basic carpentry skills that would enable them to

join the Carpenters Union as pre-apprentices or any other construction company. The program has been hugely successful and expanded to include the Laborer's Union at CSP-Sacramento and MTTC at CIM. The three programs were funded by CALPIA and recorded a recidivism rate of 10 percent. That was

construction.

In 2007, we entered into a three-year \$9.258 million contract with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to fund the three existing CTE Programs by expanding to eight, by adding programs at CIW, which is our women's carpentry program; CCWF, the California Women's Facility at Chowchilla, carpentry; and three programs at FSP - carpentry, labor construction, and we brought on the ironworkers with the help of Mr. Trujillo. The three programs at FSP were operated within the CALPIA Modular Building Enterprise.

Program participants engage in projects that renovated existing usable -- previously existing and unusable buildings in correctional institutions, but also participated in the installation of various modular buildings fabricated by PIA, and the maintenance of other state assets such as state parks, CalExpo and State Fair, water storage

facilities as well as local government facilities.

2.5

From the CTE report you can see the projects saved the state millions, and the savings were amplified because the participating employers were -- the participants were employed upon parole, and most of them never come back.

The CTE Program was also used to renovate and repurpose the aforementioned CDCR vocational dive program at CIM which was closed in 2003 and is currently operated by CALPIA as the Leonard Greenstone Marine Technology Training Center, and trains commercial divers and welders who parole to high paying jobs in the commercial diving industry. The program boasts the lowest recidivism rate of any CTE Program in CALPIA as well as any program in the United States.

Due to budget constraints a couple years ago, CDCR's contractual agreement to support the CTE Program was reduced by half after the second year or third year of the contract. And then the contribution was further reduced to \$800,000 after the third year. We partially funded the program in '11-12, and now we are solely funding a much reduced CTE Program in '12-13.

Our funding restrictions -- this is one of

1 those ones, this is a non-statutory funding issue, and that is why we are recommending it for 2 3 Given the current budget constrictions, reduction. 4 CALPIA can no longer solely support this program. 5 The current annual plan was approved by the PIB for 6 a million-57. And the proposed diminishment of the 7 CTE Program would have a positive financial impact on CALPIA, as this would be a reduction in 8 administrative expenses that are not related to our 9 10 cost of goods sold. The diminishment would increase 11 general fund costs to the CDCR as the inmates 12 trained in this program have a remarkably lower 13 recidivism rate than the general population, and a 14 diminishment of the program would result in the increase in incarceration rates. 15

There will also be a loss in general fund savings as a majority of these inmates are used to perform deferred maintenance activities, whether it be in CDCR, CalExpo, state parks, which will now continue to be deferred or accomplished using contractors or civil service employees at a much higher cost. As I stated, recidivism savings from this program will be lost.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Statewide, there is a total of 150 inmate assignments that will be eliminated. The

1 assignments represent the number of positions 2 budgeted for this program. We have 40 inmates that 3 are at the dive facility; 20 that are working in the 4 ironworkers program, 30 in carpentry, 30 in 5 laborers. Those 30 were at FSP, Folsom State 6 Prison; and 30 at the CIW carpentry program, 7 California Institution for Women. Affected inmates 8 workers may have the opportunity to apply for other assignments within CALPIA or CDCR. I tell you the 9 10 only inmates position that would be eliminated --11 the only place they would have ability for other PIA 12 jobs would be at CIM in the laundry or CIW in the 13 fabric factory. So their only ability would be 14 going from a very technical skill to a very low 15 technical skill job. The calculations, and it was in your action 16 17 item, are approximately 150 inmates are served by 18 this proven program. And given the low recidivism 19 rate, the 7.13 percent, compared to the recidivism 20 rate that was just issued for the general population 21 of 63.7, is estimated that this program saves the 22 general fund at least \$3.8 million a year, and 23 that's in perpetuity per year in reduced recidivism, 24 which is at least 2.8 million more than the cost of 25 the program.

There is one civil service position that will be affected by this. We run this program completely without civil service employees, and I think that that's kind of been the rub with this thing. We do it entirely on a contract basis, using folks that actually work out in the field. We don't hire the actual trainer. We go through the apprenticeship councils or, in the case of the dive program, we found two guys that were long-term divers. One is a reserve commander of the Navy's sub recovery unit that does this training down at CIM. So we've obviously got qualified folks doing this.

2.5

The one affected employee will undergo processing in accordance with the HR processes, and I think we can place her very easily. The CTE Program's expense beyond the one civil service position is in contracts with associated trainers and apprenticeship programs for instructor services. There are four contracts total, for \$999,000.

That's basically what it's costing us, a total of a million-57 with the one state employee, \$999,000 in contract costs.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Comments, questions, Members of the Board?

I should say new comments and questions from

Members of the Board. I think we know where we are.

Members of the public. There are two names submitted that would like to address this item. The first being Roy Borgersen.

MR. BORGERSEN: My name is Roy Borgersen. I am an instructor at Folsom Prison Camp 12. And all I can tell you is that this program that you have -- thank you very much, Mr. Kelly, down there for putting this on the right track. This program here saves a lot, a lot of lives.

Personally being a YA member in 1967 to 1971, they didn't have anything like that when I was doing time. I was lucky my father was a concrete contractor, so every time I got out I had a job. I remember we used to ask each other, "What are you going to do when you get out?" Nobody knew what they were going to do.

This program gives them an opportunity to do something. My union - I work with Terry Shupe, the carpenter. He wasn't able to make it today. We have a good program up there. It's not like IDL. You know they are given so much money to max out the work. They have to earn their raises. The way they earn their raises is they have to complete classes. And they have to get accredited with their safety.

They have to work as a team. Nothing is given to them up there. We weed them out. We work them hard. My union, the Laborer's Union, don't want any bums. This program up here saves lives. On the graduation we have mothers and wives always asking me, "Is my son going to make it?"

I go, "Yeah. He'll make it if he just keeps going forward." What gets them is when he gets off that bus and gets a bottle of beer, and they go right back where they came from. This program that we have prevents them from becoming a Level 2, Level 3, Level 4. Whether we like it or not, they're going to be walking our streets. We're giving them the tools they will need to go to the union where my union will do everything it can to place them for work.

It is a beautiful program. It really works.

We had a guy at Folsom Dam that was drilling in

dynamite. He was one of our guys. How he slipped

through and got that job, I don't know. His life

has gotten completely 100 percent. And this is the

opportunity that the union gives them. They get out

there and they're working. A lot of them are

learning work. A lot of them -- one guy calls us

all the time. He bought a house. And if it wasn't

- for this program giving the chance to do something
 different with new tools, you know. It's a
 blessing.
 Whatever you do, don't eliminate this program.
 'Cause, personally speaking, I walk in these guys'
 shoes. This is the best thing going. For me, when
- 'Cause, personally speaking, I walk in these guys' This is the best thing going. For me, when 7 I got out in 1971, I never went back. I'm a concrete contractor, and I'm ready to retire from 8 the union. I mean, I could go to the union hall and 9 10 wear a fancy a shirt and everything else, but I 11 prefer working with these guys and seeing miracles 12 happen and occasionally breathing a little tear gas 13 which only gives me a little reminder why I don't want to come back. 14
- 15 Thank you very much.
- 16 CHAIR HOSINO: Thank you, Mr. Borgersen.
- CHAIR HOSINO: Next member of the public
- 18 is Leonard Gonzales.
- 19 MR. GONZALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
- 20 Members of the Board. My name is Leonard Gonzales.
- 21 I'm the Executive Director for the Laborer's
- 22 Training and Retraining Trust Fund for Northern
- 23 | California.
- 24 Thank you, Roy. Great job.
- 25 Roy is one of our instructors with this

program as well as Pete. And we're here to speak on behalf of the program and the success of this particular program.

First, I want to throw out some statistics as it relates to the Laborer's and those individuals that go through the program and become indentured.

Our involvement began in 2008. From 2008 to current time we have trained approximately 125 individuals, specifically in the laborers program. Of the 125, we've taken in 42 individuals that have transferred. That is about 30 percent, if I did my math right. Thirty percent that come into the program indentured that actually work. I know this because in order for them to advance to a journeyman we pull the data on these individuals. They journeyed out.

In the years -- our program is 3,600 hours, which is about two years from apprenticeship program. Of the 42 that we took in, 24 came out in the years 2008 and 2009. Use those numbers because that's about the time, right around last year, 2010-11 that they should have started journeying out. Of the 42, 24 came in during 2008-2009, 24. Eight of those journeyed out. That is 57 percent of the 42 that were still in the program and 33

percent, eight individuals, that journeyed out and are still working. These individuals come out, once they put in 400 hours, they get the full benefits for themselves and their family members. Once they hit 1,201 hours they become eligible for the pension annuity contribution as well.

So as Member Trujillo and Member Kelly mentioned, these aren't just jobs. These are careers in the trade, in organized labor. That is something for us that, in our particular union - I can't speak on behalf of the other crafts - we see this as a value of developing a good quality workforce. Our local business manager, Doyle Radford, Local 185 -- 18 individuals have actually become indentured in 185.

This is a great program. Our business manager for Northern California District Council, Oscar De La Torre is another huge advocate for this program. This actually caused us to look elsewhere, to look at other programs similar to these that's providing a service to community members. What we find is even in the community the success rate that you're seeing with your program, some of these programs in the community where these individuals are not incarcerated, they may have an offense that comes

across the juvenile justice or the justice system itself, are not putting out a success rate or completion rate, the wage gain, the unemployment rate that this particular program is doing.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For us -- prior to coming over to the Laborer's, I spent a lot of time on workforce development. I've applied to the Department of Labor for youth offender grants. In 2008, when the Department of Labor put out a youth offenders grant, in the back in the index it references, it specifically said build a model like CALPIA. [Unintelligible] sees these program as a model. They put out about, I think that particular year, they put out about \$20,000,000 to fund five programs. I was fortunate. We were funded in Fresno, with Fresno Career Development Institute, along with Hinkly Employment [phonetic] at that time was who I was employed with. We had a real tough time getting that program off the ground, and the success was nowhere near this particular program.

I think to take from this is we at the union as a craft, when we're taking individuals and indenturing them as apprentices, there are collective bargaining agreements. They have associations that we are affiliated with. We are

1 sending these individual out with confidence, 2 knowing they are a good workforce. They're trained 3 at a high level and even the union, our 4 organization, is willing to take that risk and believe in the individual and hope the 5 6 administration and Department will do the same. Thank you for your time this morning. 8 CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you, Mr. Gonzales. Members, on this item, again, I think I 9 mentioned that this is day four for me. 10 11 short amount of time in this roll here is an item 12 that has come to me, not just in terms of, I would 13 say, volume of discussion from a number of folks, 14 but the intensity of discussion. And so it leads me 15 to a place where I would recommend to the Board 16 myself that we modify our actions in this particular I would like the opportunity, if you would 17 area. indulge me, to work with the Executive Director as 18 19 well as the over team members and partners in the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to see 20 21 if we can't find solutions related to this particular issue. No commitment or guarantee that 22 23 that is possible, but at least a commitment to make 24 an effort to do this.

So my recommendation and what I would seek is

2.5

1	a motion from a Member that would modify this item
2	to deferring the decision on the diminishment of the
3	Career Technical Education Program at this time.
4	MEMBER TRUJILLO: I would make that motion.
5	MEMBER SINGH: I second that.
6	CHAIR HOSHINO: Please call the roll.
7	MS. GUARE: Chair Hoshino.
8	CHAIR HOSHINO: Yes.
9	MS. GUARE: Member Almanza.
10	MEMBER ALMANZA: Yes.
11	MS. GUARE: Member Davidson.
12	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
13	MS. GUARE: Member Kelly.
14	MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
15	MS. GUARE: Member Masteller.
16	CHAIR HOSHINO: The light is out.
17	MS. GUARE: Member Saito.
18	MEMBER SAITO: Aye.
19	MS. GUARE: Member Singh.
20	MEMBER SINGH: Aye, yes.
21	MS. GUARE: Member Steeb.
22	MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
23	MS. GUARE: Member Trujillo.
24	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye.
25	MS. GUARE: Thank you.

1 CHAIR HOSHINO: Motion carries.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

2.5

The record should reflect that due to technical difficulties we have lost Member Masteller. We will attempt to get her back on line.

MR. PATTILLO: Just for clarification. are talking about several programs, and just -- I think several of the Board Members are aware of this. The difference between what we do as CTE, the CTE Program, and the difference between IWL, which was IDL, IWL now, and vocational education, vocation education is classroom training program that doesn't necessarily go out and do actual construction. IWL does actual construction. We are the only ones that meld those two together. We actually have a classroom portion and a construction portion. it also allows is they become pre-apprentices when they leave us versus the programs. That is the only ones that the trade unions have signed off on, the pre-apprentices.

21 CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you for the 22 clarification.

MR. PATTILLO: I'll have Mr. Sly come up and present the next item.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Item E on the agenda is no

1 longer on the agenda.

Mr. Sly, are you here for approval of Item F?
MR. SLY: Yes.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Approval of substantive changes to CALPIA Title 15.

MR. SLY: Members and Chair, Jeff Sly, general counsel for PIA. This Item F comes to you today for approval of substantive changes to some regulations that this Board previously proposed in April of this year.

Basically, the way the regulatory process works is we bring proposed regulations to the Board. You guys approve them. We then post them on a 45-day public notice period. We accept comments from the general public with regards to the regulations. Every once in a while we get suggestions that come back that cause us to take a look at what we wrote. We will make changes. This Board had previously given the Executive Officer, Mr. Pattillo, the authority to approve non-substantive changes so we could take those regulations and go ahead and shoot them over to OAL and finish out the process and have them approved and go directly into effect.

In this particular circumstance, we received a

few changes that once made were really substantive.

If you look at your Exhibit F1, which is the actual

regulatory language. All the changes that we have

are written in bold and double underlined.

To point out just a couple of them, on Page 3, the definition of escape was expanded by the recommendation of some folks in the custody area oversee CDCR.

Also, on Page 4, a reference to Close B custody designation for inmates. We inadvertently left out Subdivision (5), which references female inmates, since female inmates work at PIA, we wanted to add that in to make that apply to both male and female inmates. Those two came at suggestions from the public.

On Page 8, OAL asked us to include a reference inclusion by reference to a CDC Form 101 that is used with regards to classification and things with its inmates. And, also, OAL changed the requirement with regards to economic impact analysis statement. PIA wasn't previously required to submit those because we are not a general fund appropriated agency. They are going through a number of changes currently. One of them was they want those forms from all agencies, even non-appropriated agencies.

Those were deemed to be substantive changes.

2.5

There are some other changes throughout the proposed regs that are basically clerical in nature or considered non-substantive. But, essentially, what OAL is asking us to do since we've picked up some of these in the initial 45-day review process. Once we made those changes, we reposted them for a subsequent 15-day notice period.

So we've gone through all requirements that OAL has asked us to do with all the changes from both the public and OAL. We are now asking for you guys to approve those substantive changes so we can resubmit these regs to OAL for final approval and adoption.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Questions, comments from Members of the Board?

I have one. Again, because of the interplay of the various organizations, I think you mentioned that you had discussions or there was some review by adult institutions?

MR. SLY: Yes. Every time we post these, we always send notice to them. In fact, in the initial drafting of these regulations we cited from them as well.

CHAIR HOSHINO: Fantastic. I am looking to

```
1
   avoid the after-the-fact experience for a board when
 2
   it moves a regulatory package. Another significant
 3
   player shows up feeling like they didn't have the
 4
   level of input or back-and-forth on it.
             MR. SLY: The initial drafting was staged
 6
   for these particular regulations took over 13
 7
            Part of that was going back and forth with
   months.
 8
   the Department.
 9
             CHAIR HOSHINO: That is what I need to
10
   know, that the 13 months you went through the
11
   factory on it. Okay.
12
         Any other comments, questions?
13
         Motion is to adopt?
14
             MR. SLY:
                       Yes.
             MEMBER SAITO: Move to approve.
15
16
             MEMBER SINGH:
                            Second.
17
             CHAIR HOSHINO: Call the roll, please.
18
          Still have a quorum?
                         Yes, we do. Thank you.
19
             MS. GUARE:
          Chair Hoshino.
20
21
             CHAIR HOSHINO:
                              Yes.
22
                         Member Almanza.
             MS. GUARE:
23
             MEMBER ALMANZA:
                               Yes.
24
             MS. GUARE: Member Davidson.
             MEMBER DAVIDSON:
25
                                Yes.
```

```
1
             MS. GUARE: Member Kelly.
 2
             MEMBER KELLY:
                             Yes.
 3
             MS. GUARE: Nothing from Member Masteller
 4
   yet.
          Member Saito.
 5
             MEMBER SATTO:
 6
                             Yes.
 7
             MS. GUARE: Member Singh.
             MEMBER SINGH:
 8
                             Yes.
             MS. GUARE: Member Steeb.
 9
10
             MEMBER STEEB:
                             Yes.
11
             MS. GUARE: Member Trujillo.
12
             MEMBER TRUJILLO: Yes.
13
             CHAIR HOSHINO: That concludes the action
14
   items on the agenda for today. The time is 12:00.
   Mr. Walker will present the information items.
15
16
          But I don't want to put pressure on you,
17
   Mr. Walker. I do need to leave by 12:30, and we
18
   have the balance of the agenda remaining.
          Member Masteller, have you returned?
19
20
             MEMBER MASTELLAR:
                                 Yes.
             CHAIR HOSINO:
21
                              Let the record reflect that
22
   Member Masteller has returned via teleconference to
23
   the public meeting. And the record should also
24
   reflect that it wasn't a technical error. It is a
25
   user error in that your brand new Acting Chairperson
```

for the Board is the one who kicked a cord that disconnected the telephone. I'm sure that the elegant and graceful Secretary Cate would have never made such a mistake. So I think in advance, back to my earlier comments in thanking the board for its indulgence and patience for its newest Member.

Mr. Walker.

MR. WALKER: I am Scott Walker, Assistant General Manager of operations.

If you turn to Tab G in your book, you will see two pie charts. This is the third quarter of last Fiscal Year and the fourth quarter. Two things that I would bring to your attention are in the fourth quarter -- and one is usually expected which is industry related lost hours which increased significantly. That's due to our annual fiscal inventory that we do at yearend. That was pretty much expected.

The other one that wasn't so much expected is increase in vacant hours. As Mr. Pattillo mentioned earlier, the fourth quarter cancellation of CPP orders by CDCR drove a lot of things in the organization in that fourth quarter. One of them was us holding positions vacant because we just didn't have the work to do. Although we kept

producing at a certain level, we certainly didn't produce at a level we normally do, and that drove up significantly the vacant lost hours in the fourth quarter.

Any questions or further comments you need by me?

That was significant things.

So in the interest of time, if you could turn to Tab H. What this shows is our accreditations for in the last fiscal year, including the fourth quarter. As you can see, in the first one there is certificates of proficiency nominations. We did about 1,147 last year, which was up from the year before. We are making progress in this area. This is one the areas you will see in the first quarter of this year when we get to that where we still have a considerable challenge.

One of the things we're doing there is - I spoke to the Board before - we are formalizing those certifications. Before it was kind of time and place. If you had 1,500 hours in a job, you got a certification. What we are doing now is time to match an SOC code and the inmates have to actually pass a written skills and a practical skills test to get the certification and also to move to the next

pay number. So we're taking this and really moving towards the CTE model as Mr. Borgersen spoke to in his testimony. We are not only having them work in the job, but we are making them prove their skills, knowledge and experience before we move them on.

2.5

The next tab shows the external certifications. This one is also of critical importance to CALPIA. If you look at these in general, the inmates that have achieved these certifications, their recidivism rate is greatly reduced even from the normal inmates in the program. We continue to expand on this notion.

And, Board Member Steeb, your GED report will be here in December. There was some issues with reporting on that or trying to clean that up because some of them we're doing and some CDCR is doing. I haven't forgot that. It will be in the December package.

MR. PATTILLO: Ours won't look as good as yours.

MR. WALKER: The next sheet shows the closed accredited certifications, 1,697 of them last year. So we continue to make progress there. Not as much as we'd like, but it's moving forward.

The last one is a line chart that shows

nominations and enclosure. You can see it's increased dramatically to where we want it to be. We got the reporting issues pretty much straightened out, so we're headed in the right direction.

Any questions?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Turn to Tab I. What you will see here is the fourth quarter and the first quarter comparison. What generally happens, fourth quarter usually goes up in industry-related, like we talked about, because of the annual fiscal inventory. So vacant hours are up as we talked about before because of the fourth quarter CPP orders, the main driver in that. One of the troubling things is that's now carried over to the first quarter. The main reason for that, not to bring fourth quarter cancellation into more focus than it already is, but there was a lot of product that was already done. So we didn't need to bring the inmates back into the operation because the product was there and available to ship. So that fourth quarter cancellation not only impacted the fourth, it's now impacting the first quarter.

We hope to be -- we will be through that in the second quarter. When we report back, we will be significantly improved. But that unexpected change

in our workload really has a ripple effect to the organization. It just doesn't turn around overnight. It is about a six-month impact on us getting through this thing.

Any questions on those issues?

If you go to the last page, Page 8, in that you will see inmate assignments by month. What you can see is something we don't like to see which is a gradual decline. We have to -- part of this is, in fact, due to, again, the fourth quarter cancellation. This is through June. We just didn't need as many inmates as we normally need. So it went down. That number will be coming back up, not to where we'd like it, but it will be coming back up in the next report.

Any questions?

If you go to Tab J, you will see the first quarter for efficiency certifications. You can see they are down there a little bit. Part of that is our struggle in the professionalizing of this thing. We are still struggling a little bit to get that out to everybody and get them familiar with it and get them into that process where instead of time and grade, it is showing us that you know what you are doing. That will be get better, but right now it is

1 a bit of a struggle. 2 The next one shows the external 3 certifications. We are doing pretty good there. 4 have 544 enrollments in the first quarter. That is 5 a pretty good number. The closed accreditations we 6 had 684 in the first quarter. We're going in the 7 right direction there as well. 8 And I'll be glad to answer any questions. CHAIR HOSHINO: Members? 9 MEMBER MASTELLAR: Member Masteller. 10 11 just like to know what happened while we were shut 12 off from you with the action item on D, CTE. 13 Item D, the motion we made, CHAIR HOSHINO: the short version, Member Masteller, was to defer 14 15 the decision on this particular subject. I made a commitment in the interim to work with Executive 16 17 Director Pattillo and other members of the Department to see if we can't explore solutions and 18 19 options in that period of time for which we defer the decision. 20 21 Thank you. MEMBER MASTELLAR: 22 CHAIR HOSHINO: There was a vote taken. 23 The vote and motion did carry.

MEMBER MASTELLAR:

Would you want to add your name to that role?

No.

That's okay.

24

25

1 CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you.

That concludes the informational items. We are now on to a report from Mr. Reslock on external affairs and legislation.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Thank you, Mr. Walker. That was a nice report.

MR. WALKER: Thank you.

MR. RESLOCK: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Members. I am Eric Reslock, external affairs. I have a few items for you today. Most of it good.

First of all, I want to talk about the Sacramento Business Journal story that was published last Friday. And for the benefit of the Chairman, I will just briefly summarize it. There is one coalition of small businesses called The Coalition of Small and Disabled Businesses. They have a complaint that they found a sympathetic ear with one of the reporters at the Business Journal. Basically alleging that CALPIA's food business is harming their business and resulting in layoffs.

We are very disappointed that they even did the story. We have a relationship with the reporter, and we provided them with some information basically showing that the charges were just simply false. But even though she said she agonized about it, she ended up publishing the story. Member Woodford asked if we were going to respond.

I want to report to the Board that yesterday evening I submitted a 750-word op-ed to the Business Journal, basically pointing out two things. That the biggest impact to these small businesses are, one, the reduction of prisoners and the second is that other small businesses have increased their sales. So, basically, CALPIA has no impact whatsoever on this coalition, and we offered as evidence both what the Legislative Analyst's Office had said and the governor's office. Hope to see that story in the current issue of the Business Journal.

On the positive side, yesterday we hosted a crew form CBS Channel 2 or 3 from L.A. They came out to our braille enterprise at Folsom Prison.

And, basically, they are going to do a story connecting our braille enterprise with the use of the braille products by public school students in the L.A. area. That is going to be a terrific story. It's for sweeps down in Los Angeles. We expect to see that Wednesday on CBS Los Angeles.

Commenting on a point that was raised earlier. We are working on a marketing video for the modular

products, and we hope to see that in the next couple weeks to assist with that.

And, finally, we're working on two Southern California CTE graduations in January. We have not finalized the dates yet, but we will inform the Board when we do so.

Thank you.

2.5

CHAIR HOSHINO: Thank you, Mr. Reslock.

Questions or comments from the Board Members?

Thank you.

So turning to the public comment portion of the agenda. This is the portion of the meeting reserved for comments from the public for items that are not on this agenda. Under the Bagley-Keene Act, the Board cannot act on items raised during public comment, but may respond briefly to statements made or questions posed, request clarification or refer the items to staff.

Is there anyone from the public who would like to make a comment or address the Board?

Hearing and seeing none, we will move to adjournment. I want to thank you, the Members, for their courtesy and patience and making me feel welcome today.

Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting in

1	recognition and memory of the life-long service of
2	Leonard Greenstone.
3	MEMBER SINGH: I move that.
4	CHAIR HOSHINO: Is there a second?
5	MEMBER STEEB: Second.
6	CHAIR HOSHINO: Please call the roll.
7	MS. GUARE: Chair Hoshino.
8	CHAIR HOSHINO: Aye.
9	MS. GUARE: Member Almanza.
10	MEMBER ALMANZA: Aye.
11	MS. GUARE: Member Davidson.
12	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
13	MS. GUARE: Member Kelly.
14	MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
15	MS. GUARE: Member Masteller.
16	MEMBER MASTELLAR: Aye.
17	MS. GUARE: Member Saito.
18	MEMBER SAITO: Aye.
19	MS. GUARE: Member Steeb.
20	MEMBER STEEB: Yes.
21	MS. GUARE: Member Singh.
22	MEMBER SINGH: Yes.
23	MS. GUARE: Member Trujillo.
24	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Yes.
25	CHAIR HOSHINO: The meeting is adjourned at

```
1
    approximately 12:14.
 2
           Thank you very much.
 3
               (Hearing concluded at 12:14 p.m.)
 4
                             ---000---
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.
5	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO)
6	
7	
8	I, ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ, certify that I was the
9	official Court Reporter for the proceedings named
10	herein, and that as such reporter, I reported in
11	verbatim shorthand writing those proceedings;
12	That I thereafter caused my shorthand writing
13	to be reduced to printed format, and the pages
14	numbered 3 through 93 herein constitute a complete,
15	true and correct record of the proceedings.
16	
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed this
18	certificate at Sacramento, California, on this 21st
19	day of December, 2012.
20	
21	
22	/s/ Esther F. Schwartz
23	ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ
24	CSR NO. 1564
25	